

777 North Capitol Street, NE Suite 500 Washington, DC 20002-4201 http://icma.org

## Reinventing Government: Implementation At The Local Level, 2003

There has been extensive discussion about "reinventing government" in the media and at conferences around the country. The International City/County Management Association (ICMA) conducted this survey to identify how the principles of reinventing government are being applied at the local level.

The *Reinventing Local Government* survey was conducted in spring and summer 2003. The survey was mailed to the Chief Administrative Officers in municipalities with populations 10,000 and over. Out of 3,215 municipalities surveyed, 1,072 responded (33.3%).

For more information on the *Reinventing Local Government* survey, please contact <u>Sebia M. Clark</u>.



Click here to buy the complete dataset from bookstore.icma.org.

Following is the survey text with the aggregate results shown in bold next to each answer. Each answer represents the percentage reporting for that question, except where noted.

## **Budget**

In the **last five years** has the budget recommended to the city council:

1.	Included funds for customer service training for municipal	al employees? 71	.0 Y	es <b>29</b> .	. <b>0</b> No	
	<ul><li>A. If "yes," were funds approved by the council?</li><li>B. If "yes," was the program implemented?</li></ul>	80.1 Always 72.0 Always		Sometimes Sometimes		Never Never
2.	Included funds to help train neighborhood organizations	in decision making?		<b>19.8</b> Yes	<b>80.2</b> No	0
	<ul><li>A. If "yes," were funds approved by the council?</li><li>B. If "yes," was the program implemented?</li></ul>	<ul><li>67.8 Always</li><li>69.1 Always</li></ul>		Sometimes Sometimes		Never Never
3.	Included funds to train employees in developing better decomplaints? 63.9 Yes 36.1 No	ecision-making skills	s so th	ey can respon	nd more	effectively to citizen
	<ul><li>A. If "yes," were funds approved by the council?</li><li>B. If "yes," was the program implemented?</li></ul>	<ul><li>76.9 Always</li><li>71.0 Always</li></ul>		Sometimes Sometimes		Never Never
4.	Included funds for e-government initiatives? 67.	5 Yes <b>32.5</b> No	0			
	A. If "yes," were funds approved by the council? B. If "yes," was the program implemented?	75.8 Always 69.0 Always		Sometimes Sometimes		Never Never
5.	Recommended contracting out a municipal service to a the	nird-party vendor?	(	65.7 Yes	34.3	No
	A. If "yes," was the contracting out of the service appro B. If "yes," was the contracting out implemented? C. If "yes," was any anticipated cost saving reflected in	•	ories?	<b>67.7</b> Alw	ays 30.5	Sometimes 2.9 Never Sometimes 1.8 Never Sometimes 4.7 Never

6. Recommended a fee increase instead of a tax increase to fund certain services? 85.7 Yes 14.3 No

A. If "yes," was this approved by the council? 59.3 Always
B. If "yes," was the program implemented? 59.3 Always 30.7 Sometimes 0.7 Never 0.7 Never

7. Included a change in your budget format to funding outcomes, not inputs? 28.8 Yes 71.2 No

8. Recommended the use of enterprise funds? 67.7 Yes 32.3 No

A. If "yes," did the council approve using enterprise funds?

85.4 Always

B. If "yes," was the program implemented?

87.5 Always

13.9 Sometimes

9.7 Never

11.6 Sometimes

9.9 Never

9. Recommended partnering with a private business or non-profit agency to provide a program or service? 70.7 Yes 29.3 No

A. If "yes," did the council approve?

66.0 Always

32.7 Sometimes

1.2 Never

B. If "yes," was the program implemented?

67.5 Always

31.6 Sometimes

0.8 Never

10. Recommended programs that would make the municipal government more entrepreneurial and then included funds to carry out those programs? 44.7 Yes 55.3 No

A. If "yes," did the council approve?

61.6 Always

B. If "yes," was the program implemented?

61.6 Always

6

11. Anticipated non-tax revenues derived from entrepreneurial efforts of the municipality? 42.8 Yes 57.2 No

12. Recommended funding citizen surveys to determine expectations and levels of satisfaction? 55.6 Yes 44.4 No

A. If "yes," did the council approve funds? 71.4 Always 23.0 Sometimes 5.7 Never B. If "yes," was the program implemented? 71.0 Always 25.0 Sometimes 4.0 Never

## **Response to Recent Shortfalls**

13. Which of the following measures has your local government implemented or considered **in the past year** to address budget shortfalls? (If you have considered *and* implemented the measure, please check both columns.)

Measure	Number reporting	Considered	Implemented	Service(s) affected
a. Shared services-e.g., two local governments combining their public works departments, so that one department would deliver services to both communities.	479	100.0	49.9	
b. Reduced service delivery-e.g., reduced library hours	475	100.0	56.4	
c. Eliminated delivery of at least one non-required service- e.g., cancelled a summer in the parks program.	408	100.0	64.5	
d. Increased taxes	538	100.0	72.9	
e. Added/increased user fees/charges for service	904	100.0	87.6	
f. Rescinded previously approved capital expenditures	523	100.0	71.5	
g. Froze vacant positions	684	100.0	83.2	
h. Used reserve funds (one-time solution)	616	100.0	82.1	N/A
i. Identified a nontraditional source of revenue	282	100.0	59.2	Please describe:

## **Descriptive Characteristics**

14. Which of the following services are provided by your municipality (*Check all applicable*.)

**96.7** Police

**98.1** Public works/engineering

**92.0** Leisure (parks and recreation)

**87.3** Fire (paid/volunteer)

83.6 Water/sewer

**54.1** Library

**65.0** Refuse collection

750 - 999

98.5 Inspection (building/zoning)

47.8 Cultural and arts

15. How many full-time employees are employed by your municipality? (*Check only one.*)

250 - 499

1.000 +8.4

4.7

500 - 749 8.1

22.5

14.0

**37.8** 100 - 249

50 - 99

**4.5** Fewer than 50

16. Generally speaking, how would you classify the economic health of your municipality? (Circle only one.)

Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	
1	2	3	4	
5.0	22.5	37.9	26.0	

17. Which best describes your municipality? (*Check only one.*)

14.2 Urban, central city

33.0 Low density suburb

**12.7** Rural

**Excellent** 

5 8.7

25.3 High density, first ring suburb

**14.9** Central city, but not in an urbanized corridor

18. In the past ten years, has your municipality's population (*Check only one*.):

**40.5** Increased more than 10%

10.8 Stayed the same 0.9 Decreased more than 10%

Increased between 1 - 10% 35.2

Decreased between 1-10% 12.5

19. Please indicate the most recent unemployment rate (*Check only one*.):

**9.9** Greater than 7%

**34.3** Between 5 - 7%

**40.3** Between 3 -4.9%

**15.5** Under 3%

20. Please indicate the average per capita income of your community (Check only one.):

**29.5** \$40,000 and above

\$15,000 - \$19,999 11.8

0.3 Under \$10,000

26.0

**48.1** \$20.000 -\$39.999

3.3 \$10,000 - \$14,999 6.8 Don't know

21. Please indicate if the total taxable value of real estate (sometimes called the grand list) during the past five years has:

93.5 increased in value

stayed the same 4.4

decreased in value 2.2

22. In the most recent operating budget, please indicate the fund balance measured as a percent of revenues (Check only one.):

6% or more 64.3

**4.5** 4% to 4.9%

4.7 2% to 2.9% 4.7 0% to 0.9%

5% to 5.9% 6.4

**3.3** 3% to 3.9%

1% to 1.9% 4.6

Don't know 7.4