

777 North Capitol Street, NE Suite 500 Washington, DC 20002-4201 http://icma.org

Reinventing Government: Implementation At The Local Level 1997

There has been extensive discussion about "reinventing government" in the media and at conferences around the country. The International City/County Management Association (ICMA) conducted this survey to identify how the principles of reinventing government are being applied at the local level.

The survey was mailed to 2,858 municipalites with populations 10,000 and greater and 1,276 responded for a response rate of (44.7%).

For more information on the *Reinventing Local Government* survey, please contact Survey Research.



Click here to buy the complete dataset from bookstore.icma.org.

Following is the survey text with the aggregate results shown in bold next to each answer. Each answer represents the percentage reporting for that question, except where noted.

	Always	Usually	Sometimes	Seldom	Never
1a. How often do you present recommended budget to legislative council for adoption?	95.7	2.7	.6	.5	.6
1b. How often is the municipal budget used as the primary vehicle to implement programs that support municipal policies?	43.0	50.9	5.4	.6	.2
1c. How often could programs be implemented without some funding?	.4	1.5	35.4	51.5	11.3
1d. If municipal policies are to be successfully implemented, how often must funding be provided?	24.4	63.5	11.6	.4	
1e. How often do you view the budget as means to accomplish policy ends?	33.7	54.7	10.0	1.3	.4

Indicate which best reflects your opinion:

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	No opinion
2a. Taxpayers are customers, are should be treated as such.	76.9	21.1	1.3	.6	.1
2b. Municipal govt. employees should be the	.8	5.4	63.7	29.1	.9

manidam of complete		1		Ī	
providers of services offered by local					
governments.					
2c. It is acceptable to use	36.2	59.3	1.6	2.5	.5
3 rd party contractors to	30.2	39.3	1.0	2.3	
provide municipal services.					
2d. Local gov should steer					
but not necessarily row the	13.7	64.6	14.0	1.4	6.2
boat	13.7	04.0	14.0	1.4	0.2
2e. Community groups					
should be empowered to	13.1	57.9	20.8	1.9	6.3
make decisions	13.1	31.9	20.6	1.9	0.5
2f. Competition should be					
introduced into public	20.5	66.9	8.0	.9	3.7
service delivery	20.3	00.9	0.0	.9	3.7
2g. Municipal depts should					
bid against 3 rd party	9.7	48.9	29.9	2.2	9.4
contractors	7.1	70.9	27.9	2.2). 1
2h. Having a mission					
statement for local	40.4	47.1	7.3	.8	4.5
government	70.7	77.1	7.5	.0	7.5
2i. Local government	32.6	56.9	7.0	.5	3.0
should be entrepreneurial	32.0	30.7	7.0	.5	3.0
2j. Local government					
should develop non tax	42.0	53.2	2.8	.5	1.6
revenue sources	12.0	33.2	2.0	.5	1.0
2k. Financial incentives					
for municipal employees to	20.3	56.5	15.5	.9	6.9
be entrepreneurial	20.3	30.5	13.3	.,	0.5
21. A traditional					
administrative model with	4.0	40.4	37.7	4.9	13.1
appropriate controls in LG					
2m. Accountability					
problems when municipal	6.3	59.5	28.6	3.6	2.0
services are provided					
2n. Service fee increases					
are more acceptable to	9.7	65.3	20.7	1.2	3.0
public than are tax					
increases.					
2o. Competition plays an					
important role in	10.5	63.8	19.8	.6	5.3
moderating cost of					
government services					
2p. The aims, structure,					
activities, responsibilities	13.0	47.4	33.0	4.6	1.9
of government are unlike					
those of business.		1			
L		•			•

	No	Yes	
3 Included funds for customer service			
training for municipal employees	23.8	76.2	
	Always	Sometimes	Never
a. Were funds approved by the council	77.1	22.1	.7
b. Was the program implemented	71.0	28.6	.4
4. Included funds to help train	79.1	20.9	
neighborhood org in decision making			
	Always	Sometimes	Never
a. Were funds approved by the council	62.4	27.1	10.6
b. Was the program implemented	62.0	32.3	5.7
5. Included funds to help train	24.9	75.1	
employees in decision making skills			
	Always	Sometimes	Never
a. Were funds approved by the council	76.1	22.9	1.1
b. Was the program implemented	69.5	30.3	.1
6. Recommended contracting out	21.2	78.8	
municipal service to 3 rd party vendor		7 0.0	
a Paragrant to band tondo	Always	Sometimes	Never
a. Were funds approved by the council	59.9	38.3	1.8
b. Was the program implemented	65.4	33.6	.9
c. Anticipated cost saving reflected in	46.3	49.8	3.9
budget categories	TU.J	77.0	3.3
7. Recommended a fee increase instead	14.0	86.0	
of tax increase to fund services	14.0	80.0	
of tax increase to fund services	Always	Sometimes	Never
Ware funds approved by the souncil	54.9	44.0	1.1
a. Were funds approved by the council b. Was the program implemented	62.8	36.6	.5
		33.9	
8. Change your budget format to	66.1	33.9	
funding outcomes	24.7	75.3	
9. Recommended the use of enterprise funds	24.7	/5.5	
Tulius	A 1	Sometimes	Massan
W C I I b d	Always		Never
a. Were funds approved by the council	78.5	20.3	1.2
b. Was the program implemented	79.5	19.3	1.2
10. Recommended partnering with a	29.0	71.0	
private business			
	Always	Sometimes	Never
a. Were funds approved by the council	67.0	32.0	1.0
b. Was the program implemented	67.8	31.6	.6
11. Recommended setting aside funds	56.4	43.6	
for employee incentives			
	Always	Sometimes	Never
a. Were funds approved by the council	67.2	26.2	6.6
b. Was the program implemented	65.7	28.1	6.2
12. Recommended programs that make	53.7	46.3	
LG more entrepreneurial			
	Always	Sometimes	Never
a. Were funds approved by the council	58.0	39.7	2.3
b. Was the program implemented	57.2	41.0	1.9
13. Anticipated non-tax revenues	48.7	51.3	
derived from entrepreneurial efforts			
14. Recommended funding citizen	41.3	58.7	
surveys to determine expectations			
		Camatinas	Never
· ·	Always	Sometimes	Nevel
a. Were funds approved by the council	Always 73.0	Sometimes 22.1	5.0

15. Which of the following services are provided by your municipality

Police 98.7
Fire (paid/volunteer) 90.4
Refuse collection 65.5
Water/Sewer 83.7
Inspection (bldg/zoning) 98.4
Leisure (parks/recreation) 92.3
Library 55.3
Cultural and arts 44.5

16 How many full time employees are employed the municipality?

1000+	8.5
750-1000	3.7
500-750	7.8
250-500	21.8
100-250	41.4
50-100	15.0
Fewer than 50	1.7

	No 26.6		Yes 73.4		
17 Is any of the workforce unionized					
	Less than	Between	Between	More than	
	25%	25-50%	50-75%	75%	
17a Percentage of workforce unionized	10.5	24.0	30.7	34.7	
18 Are there collective bargaining	5	.6		94.4	
agreements					
19 Unionized employees collectively	Yes		No		
bargain for					
a. Wages	99.7 .3		.3		
b. Benefits	99.3		.7		
c. Job security/protection	77.3		2	22.7	
d. Limiting unit work	4'	7.6		52.4	
e. Work rules that limit performed work	5.	3.6	46.4		
f. Minimum staffing	4.	3.9	56.1		
g. Shift beginning and end times	70	0.5	29.5		
20a. Are there personnel rules for limiting	2.8		97.2		
the contracting out					
20b. Are there personnel rules for limiting	4.7		95.3		
certain work					

	Poor		Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent
21. How would you	1.9		13.4	27.1	36.4	21.2
classify the economic						
health of your						
municipality						
	Urban		High density	Low	Central city	Rural
			suburb	density		
				suburb		
22. What best	15.2		24.2	32.0	14.8	13.8
describes your						
municipality	TT' .1.	·		M - 1 -	ate to middle income	Low to moderate
	High	income		Wioderate to initiale income		income
23. What are the		15.0			63.4	21.7
economic economic	-	13.0			03.4	21.7
characteristics of your						
residents						
	Increased more that	n Inc	reased between	Stayed the	Decreased between	Decreased more
	10%		1-10%	same	1-10%	than 10%
25 In the past 10years						
has your municipality	39.4		36.0	14.6	9.3	.7
population changed						
	Greate	er than 79	%	Between 5	Between 3-4.9%	Under 3%
				7%		
26. Most recent		7.6		19.8	42.4	30.1
unemployment rate	20.000 1.1	1	1,5,000,10,000	10.000	TT 1 10 000	D 1/1
	20,000 and above	;	15,000-19,000	10,000-	Under 10,000	Don't know
27	40.0		24.0	14,999	1.2	12.0
27. Average per capita income of your	48.9		24.0	12.9	1.3	12.9
community						
Community	Increas	ed in val	116	5	stayed the same	Decreased in
	Increas	ca iii vai	ac	Stayed the same		value
28. Total taxable value		85.7			6.3	8.0
of real estate during the						
past five years						
	4% or more	3-	2-2.9%	1-1.9%	0-0.9%	Don't know
		3.9%				
29. Fund balance	70.4	6.5	6.2	5.9	4.7	6.3
measure as a percent of						
revenues	~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~			_		
	City/Town/Village	CAO	Finance	Dept.	Assistant Manager	Other
20. What is	Manager	11.6	Director	Head	4.2	0.2
30. What is your title/position	67.5	11.6	6.5	.9	4.4	9.3
uue/positioil	More than 15 y	re	Between 10-	Between	Between 2-4 years	Less than 2 years
	iviole ulali 13 y	15	15 yrs	5-9 years	Detween 2-4 years	Less man 2 years
31. Number of years in	11.1		15.2	28.8	22.3	22.6
current position	11.1		10.2	20.0	MH.J	44.0
	More than 20 ye	ars	Between 15-	Between	Between 5-9 years	Less than 5 years
			19 years	10-14	 	
				years		
32. How many total						
years have your served	41.8		19.6	17.1	12.0	9.6
in this position?						
	Male		Female			
33. What is your	89.4		10.6			
gender?						5

	25-34		35-49	50-64	65+	
34. What is your age range?	Less than 4 years of college		54.8	37.6	2.5	
			4 year college degree	MPA MBA or graduate degree	JD or equivalent	Ph.D. or equivalent
35. The highest level of education completed	of 5.6		23.4	68.0	1.4	1.6
-	African- American	Asian- American	Caucasian	Hispanic	Native American	Other
36. Racial/ethnic category	1.7	1.2	93.9	2.4	.6	.3
	Very con	servative	Moderately conservative	Neutral	Moderately liberal	Very liberal
37. Political philosophy	4.2		42.5	34.3	17.9	1.1
	ICI	MA	State/reg managers association	ASPA	Other professional Association	
38. Professional associations	82.7		72.9	12.2	30.7	