

Profile of Local Government Service Delivery Choices, 2007

The *Profile in Local Government Service Delivery Choices* survey was conducted in summer and fall 2007. Surveys were mailed to the Chief Administrative Officers in municipalities with populations 10,000 and over, in counties with populations 25,000 and over, and to a random sample of one in eight municipalities from 2,500 to 9,999 in population and one in eight counties with populations from 2,500 to 24,999. Of the 6,095 municipalities and counties that received surveys, 1,599 responded (26.2%).

For more information on the ICMA's Profile *in Local Government Service Delivery Choices* survey, please contact <u>Survey Research</u>.



Click here to buy the complete dataset from bookstore.icma.org.

Following is the survey text with the aggregate results shown next to each answer. Each answer represents the percentage reporting for that question, except where noted.

The term private service delivery includes for-profit firms, non-profit organizations, and private industries.

- 1. Has your local government studied the feasibility of adopting private service delivery within the past five years?
 - **49.6** Yes
 - **50.4** No.
 - A. If "yes," which of the following factors spurred your local government's decision to study the feasibility of adopting private delivery alternatives within the last five years? (Check all applicable.)
 - **50.3** External fiscal pressures, including restrictions placed on raising taxes, e.g., Proposition 13
 - **86.7** Internal attempts to decrease costs of service delivery
 - 9.9 State or federal mandates tied to intergovernmental financing
 - **14.0** Change in political climate emphasizing a decreased role for government
 - **3.9** Active citizen group favoring privatization
 - **15.9** Unsolicited proposals presented by potential service providers
 - 10.1 Concerns about government liability
 - **12.1** Other
- 2. Who inside your local government was involved in evaluating the feasibility of private service delivery? (Check all applicable.)

87.0 Manager/CAO 30.5 Attorney

38.0 Assistant manager/CAO **18.9** Procurement/purchasing officer

35.2 Management and/or budget analysts
13.5 Line employees
78.3 Department heads
44.6 Elected officials

52.1 Finance/accounting officer **2.1** Other

- 3. Who outside your local government organization was involved in evaluating the feasibility of private service delivery? (Check all applicable.)
- **61.6** Potential service deliverers
- **48.2** Professionals/consultants with expertise in particular service areas
- **12.6** Service recipients/consumers
- **21.1** Managers/CAOs of other local governments who have experience using private service delivery
- **18.8** Citizen advisory committees
- **8.5** State agencies, leagues, or associations
- **4.6** Other

- 4. Has your local government undertaken any activities to ensure success in implementing private service delivery? **37.9** Yes **62.1** No A. If "yes," which of the following activities has your government undertaken to ensure success in implementing private service delivery? (Check all applicable.) **64.8** Identified successful use of private alternatives in **5.6** Recommended changes in local laws Proposed implementations of private alternatives other jurisdictions 8.6 Established a citizens' advisory committee on on a trial basis private alternatives Hired consultants to analyzed feasibility of 30.1 29.1 Applied private alternatives to new services private alternatives **24.2** Applied private alternatives to growing services 22.5 Allowed government departments to compete with **24.9** Surveyed citizens private sector in the bidding **19.3** Developed programs to minimize the effect on 23.4 Kept the service complaint mechanism in-house displaced public employees **5.8** Other 5.9 Recommended changes in state laws 5. Has your local government encountered any obstacle in adopting private service delivery? **39.4** Yes **60.6** No A. If yes, which of the following obstacles have been encountered? (Check all applicable.) 29.1 Opposition from citizens 12.6 Lack of staff with sufficient expertise in contract mgmt Opposition from elected officials Lack of empirical evidence on the effectiveness of 39.3 23.1 Opposition from local government line employees 46.6 private alternatives Opposition from department heads Lack of precedent; institutional rigidities 26.4 17.0 39.5 Restrictive labor contracts/agreements Problems with contract specifications 15.2 Legal constraints **12.6** Lack of adequate contract monitoring system 31.1 Insufficient supply of competent private deliverers **5.5** Other 6. Does your local government use any techniques to systematically evaluate its private service delivery? 45.4 Yes 54.6 No A. If yes, which of the following aspects of service delivery are evaluated? (Check all applicable.) 57.6 Citizen satisfaction 82.0 77.6 Compliance with delivery standards specified 4.0 Other B. What techniques are used to evaluate the above aspects of service delivery? (Check all applicable.) 30.9 Conducting citizen surveys
 - 30.9 Conducting citizen surveys67.9 Monitoring citizen complaints70.0 Conducting field observations

71.6 Analyzing data/records

3.5 Other

Definitions

Franchises/concessions: The local government awards a right (exclusive or non-exclusive) to private firms to deliver a public service within a specific geographic area. Cable TV is an example of a service in which franchises often exist. A snack bar at a park is an example of a concession. In both cases, the citizen/consumer pays the delivering firm for a service and usually defines the level of service desired. (This alternative excludes licensing solely for health, safety, or revenue-raising purposes.)

Subsidies: The local government makes a financial or in-kind contribution to a private organization or individuals to encourage them to deliver a public service at a reduced cost to consumers. An example of an in-kind contribution is a local government's offer of free space in a public building to a private day care center.

		Currently provided by your government	No longer provided by your government	Never been provided by your government	Your employees entirely	Your employees in part	Another government or authority	Private for profit	Private non-profit	Franchises/concessions	Subsidies	Volunteers
	ces provided (Number reporting re delivery method)	So Co	S 5	Ne	Yo	Yo	An auf	Pri	Pri	Fr	Sul	0
	ic Works/Transportation				_							
1. I	Residential solid waste collection (932)	66.5	5.3	28.2	33.9	6.4	5.0	47.4	1.5	13.5	0.2	0.2
	Commercial solid waste collection (699)	50.0	7.8	42.2	22.2	10.4	4.9	56.2	1.7	13.6	0.0	0.0
3.	Solid waste disposal (851)	63.1	8.9	28.0	25.9	9.0	20.7	42.7	1.8	8.7	0.0	0.1
4.	Street repair (1,180)	93.7	0.8	5.5	38.7	51.5	6.7	30.6	0.7	0.2	0.3	0.0
5.	Street/parking lot cleaning (1,035)	83.0	2.4	14.6	67.0	18.6	5.1	16.0	0.7	0.6	0.0	0.3
	Snow plowing/sanding (917)	78.2	0.5	21.3	74.2	20.1	7.2	9.6	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Traffic sign/signal installation/maintenance (1,070)	85.3	1.9	12.8	46.8	35.1	16.4	21.3	0.4	0.1	0.0	0.1
	Parking meter maintenance and collection (279)	25.4	13.0	61.5	69.5	11.5	11.1	10.4	0.7	0.0	0.4	0.0
	Tree trimming and planting on public rights of way (1,066)	85.9	1.8	12.4	40.4	46.8	5.0	32.5	1.7	0.1	0.1	3.4
	Maintenance and administration of cemeteries (513)	43.2	2.8	54.0	62.0	17.2	6.4	13.5	6.4	0.2	0.6	3.5
	Inspection/Code enforcement (938)	93.4	0.9	5.8	81.6	13.2	5.0	7.7	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.1
12.	Operation of parking lots and garages (454)	39.3	2.5	58.3	63.7	17.2	8.6	18.5	2.6	0.4	0.0	0.2
13. (Operation/maintenance of bus transit system (403)	31.5	3.5	65.0	24.1	9.2	39.7	18.6	11.9	1.2	4.0	0.2
14.	Operation/maintenance of paratransit system (366)	29.3	2.8	67.9	21.6	10.4	36.6	18.0	18.0	1.6	4.9	0.8
	Operation of airports (422)	34.5	2.0	63.6	38.6	20.4	33.9	13.0	2.6	1.4	1.4	0.9
	Water distribution (908)	71.2	2.4	26.4	72.5	9.1	15.7	5.7	1.7	0.3	0.1	0.0
	Water treatment (851)	66.3	3.0	30.7	64.6	7.4	24.2	5.5	1.5	0.2	0.2	0.0
	Sewage collection and treatment (942)	72.8	2.3	24.9	58.1	16.5	27.1	7.1	1.4	0.3	0.2	0.0
	Disposal of sludge (782)	60.1	3.9	35.9	36.7	13.9	24.9	28.9	2.0	1.0	0.0	0.3
	Disposal of hazardous materials (615)	48.0	3.3	48.7	16.1	20.7	37.6	31.7	3.6	2.0	0.5	2.0
	lic Utilities				_							
21. 1	Electric utility operation and management (387)	29.0	1.6	69.4	27.6	3.9	12.9	47.8	4.9	9.6	0.8	0.0
22.	Gas utility operation and	22.5	1.9	75.5	14.6	1.3	9.8	190	5.7	11.4	1.0	0.0
	management (315) Utility meter reading (794)	61.1	2.1	36.8	68.8	8.2	7.1	17.8	1.8	1.9	0.3	0.0
	Utility billing (818)	63.3	2.1	34.5	69.6	0.2 10.6	6.4	17.8 16.1	2.4	1.6	0.3	0.0

Services provided (Number reporting service delivery method)	Currently provided by your government	No longer provided by your government	Never been provided by your government	Your employees entirely	Your employees in part	Another government or authority	Private for profit	Private non-profit	Franchises/concessions	Subsidies	Volunteers
Public Safety											
25. Crime prevention/patrol (1,206)	96.8	0.4	2.8	88.0	6.1	8.2	0.5	0.2	0.0	0.2	2.7
26. Police/fire communications (1,157)	91.7	3.0	5.4	66.5	13.4	24.8	1.1	1.6	0.3	0.2	2.0
27. Fire prevention/suppression (1,044)	83.1	1.4	15.5	71.6	8.5	11.6	0.8	2.5	0.1	0.5	13.0
28. Emergency medical service (940)	74.7	2.8	22.6	48.9	18.6	17.3	16.9	2.3	1.0	0.9	8.4
29. Ambulance service (860)	66.1	3.7	30.2	40.5	11.0	18.0	22.2	9.5	1.9	1.4	8.1
30. Traffic control/parking enforcement (1,028)	84.0	1.2	14.8	83.5	8.0	8.3	4.0	0.5	0.1	0.1	1.2
31. Vehicle towing and storage (422)	53.4	2.5	44.1	12.3	13.3	6.4	65.4	5.5	3.1	0.2	0.0
32. Sanitary inspection (731)	60.1	2.3	37.6	56.8	9.7	32.4	4.5	1.2	0.0	0.1	0.1
33. Insect/rodent control (597)	45.7	2.5	51.8	34.8	14.6	35.0	21.1	3.2	0.3	0.0	0.0
34. Animal control (<i>1</i> , <i>022</i>)	79.0	2.7	18.3	57.9	12.1	23.0	5.0	7.6	0.6	0.9	1.1
35. Operation of animal shelters (772)	57.7	3.8	38.5	33.5	8.8	304	8.7	22.2	0.8	1.6	3.6
36. Operation of daycare facilities (320)	22.8	2.5	74.7	13.8	9.4	13.4	53.8	32.8	1.9	3.1	1.6
37. Child welfare programs (411)	31.4	2.0	66.5	21.2	14.8	52.6	9.7	14.8	0.5	4.9	2.7
38. Programs for the elderly (799)	61.8	1.7	36.5	23.9	42.4	27.3	7.4	28.5	0.5	5.1	11.4
39. Operation/management of hospitals (253)	18.7	4.2	77.1	2.8	2.4	31.6	39.5	37.5	0.0	3.2	0.4
40. Public health programs (512)	40.5	2.2	57.3	24.6	21.3	52.0	6.1	13.1	0.2	3.1	2.1
41. Drug and alcohol treatment programs (395)	30.4	1.6	68.0	7.1	21.0	48.6	20.3	35.9	0.5	5.3	2.0
42. Operation of mental health/mental retardation programs and facilities (369)	28.0	1.6	70.4	6.8	16.3	57.5	14.4	32.2	0.3	5.1	1.4
43. Prisons/jails (648)	51.9	4.5	43.6	48.0	12.8	43.7	1.7	1.1	0.2	0.3	0.3
44. Operation of homeless shelters (288)	20.6	2.0	77.4	2.8	5.9	37.2	4.5	56.3	0.0	7.6	4.5
45. Workforce development/ job training programs (409)	30.9	1.9	67.2	9.0	21.3	58.9	6.6	24.7	0.2	2.7	0.7
46. Intake/eligibility determination for welfare programs (388)	30.2	2.1	67.7	30.2	7.7	59.3	1.8	7.7	0.0	0.5	0.3
Parks and Recreation											
47. Operation and maintenance of recreation facilities (1,130)	89.9	1.6	8.6	72.7	22.2	7.1	7.6	3.7	0.8	0.4	5.3
48. Parks landscaping and maintenance (1,141)	91.1	1.1	7.8	67.7	25.2	5.0	15.1	1.2	0.2	0.1	3.8
49. Operation of convention centers and auditoriums (381)	31.3	1.8	66.9	50.7	15.0	21.5	15.5	6.3	2.1	1.6	1.6
Cultural and Arts Programs				_							
50. Operation of cultural and arts programs (567)	44.7	2.0	53.3	26.6	34.2	12.9	6.9	35.3	1.1	7.8	20.6
51. Operation of libraries (792)	61.3	2.9	35.9	50.5	9.3	33.8	1.4	6.4	0.5	3.4	7.7
52. Operation of museums (433)	33.6	2.1	64.2	23.8	15.0	20.3	3.9	38.3	0.2		19.4
•				_							

	Services provided (Number reporting service delivery method)	Currently provided by your government	No longer provided by your government	Never been provided by your government	Your employees entirely	Your employees in part	Another government or authority	Private for profit	Private non-profit	Franchises/concessions	Subsidies	Volunteers
Su	pport Services											
53.	Buildings and grounds maintenance (1,222)	98.5	0.3	1.2	62.2	34.4	1.2	21.3	1.5	0.2	0.0	1.3
54.	Building security (958)	79.9	0.7	19.4	70.1	17.1	1.4	18.8	0.7	0.2	0.0	0.3
55.	Fleet mgmt/vehicle maintenance:	92.5	0.8	6.7	56.1	36.7	1.7	26.0	1.1	0.1	0.0	0.1
	Heavy equipment (1,140)											
56.	Fleet mgmt/vehicle maintenance:	86.8	1.3	11.9	48.5	38.0	4.1	29.5	1.7	0.1	0.3	0.5
	Emergency vehicles (1,085)											
57.	Fleet mgmt/vehicle maintenance:	92.8	0.9	6.4	55.0	35.7	1.7	26.5	1.0	0.1	0.1	0.1
	All other vehicles (1,152)											
58.	Payroll (1,228)	99.1	0.5	0.4	89.0	7.4	0.7	7.1	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
59.	Tax bill processing (903)	73.7	2.4	23.9	56.1	14.7	29.1	7.9	0.7	0.0	0.1	0.0
60.	Tax assessing (821)	64.8	2.7	32.5	45.6	11.7	40.3	7.9	0.9	0.1	0.1	0.0
61.	Data processing (1,090)	90.6	0.9	8.5	74.6	19.7	5.0	10.7	0.6	0.1	0.1	0.1
62.	Collection of delinquent taxes (890)	71.1	3.0	25.8	47.0	18.7	29.2	14.7	0.8	0.1	0.2	0.0
63.	Title records/plat map maintenance	64.2	1.4	34.4	49.3	18.4	34.4	8.3	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
	(797)											
64.	Legal services (1,042)	80.2	1.3	18.5	31.0	24.0	4.6	51.9	2.7	0.4	0.2	0.1
65.	Secretarial services (1,107)	90.7	0.4	8.9	92.1	6.9	0.7	4.0	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.2
66.	Personnel services (898)	94.6	0.2	5.2	88.5	10.6	1.2	5.8	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
67.	Public relations/public information	92.3	0.5	7.2	83.2	13.7	1.0	8.8	1.3	0.2	0.1	0.4
	(1,116)											

- 8. In the last five years, has your local government brought back in house any services that were previously contracted out?
 - **21.6** Yes
 - **78.4** No
 - A. If "yes," which of the following factors played a part in your decision to bring back the service(s)? (Check all applicable.)
 - **61.2** Service quality was not satisfactory
 - **10.0** There were problems with the contract specifications
 - 17.0 There were problems monitoring the contract
 - **52.4** The cost savings were insufficient
 - 33.9 Local government efficiency improved
 - 17.0 There was strong political support to bring back the service delivery
 - **13.3** Other
- 9. If your local government uses intergovernmental contracting, please check the reasons that motivate your government to enter into intergovernmental contracts: (*Check all applicable.*)
 - **63.7** To strengthen collaborative intergovernmental relations
 - **59.0** To promote regional service integration
 - **7.3** To avoid shedding services
 - 77.4 To achieve economies of scale
 - **37.1** To access technical expertise
 - **80.2** To save money
 - **7.0** There is a lack of private providers
 - **2.9** Other
- 10. If your local government has faced any obstacles to intergovernmental contracting, please identify them below: (Check all applicable.)
 - **32.4** Concern about difficulty in monitoring intergovernmental agreements
 - **18.8** External opposition form citizens
 - **42.9** Internal opposition from employees, elected officials
 - **64.1** Concern about loss of community control
 - 16.5 No neighboring government willing to enter into an agreement
 - **8.0** Other